

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

December 1, 2023

Helen Koppe
Mail Stop 6N-518
Office of Regulatory Affairs
Enforcement Programs and Services
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives
United States Department of Justice
99 New York Avenue, NE,
Washington, DC 20226
ATTN: ATF 2022R-17

RE: Docket Number: ATF 2022R-17
Comment Letter: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Definition of “Engaged in the Business” as a Dealer in Firearms

Dear Ms. Koppe:

On September 8, 2023, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) published a proposed rule in the Federal Register—Definition of “Engaged in the Business” as a Dealer in Firearms—to interpret Section 12002 of the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act (BSCA) that Congress passed with bipartisan support and that President Biden signed into law in June 2022. We, the undersigned Members of Congress, submit this comment in strong support of the proposed rule, which will strengthen our background check system to keep firearms out of the hands of individuals who are prohibited from purchasing or possessing them in the first place.

BSCA was drafted and debated following terrible tragedies. In Buffalo, New York, 10 Black Americans were shot and killed in a Tops Friendly Market on May 14, 2022, and in Uvalde, Texas, 19 students and two educators were shot and killed and another 17 were shot and wounded at Robb Elementary School on May 24, 2022. Additionally, 2022 was the third consecutive year where firearms were the leading cause of death for children.¹ With BSCA, for the first time in almost 30 years, Congress came together on a bipartisan basis to not only make historic investments in gun violence prevention efforts, mental health services, and school safety programs, but also to provide federal law enforcement with new tools to bolster our background check system.

To do so, BSCA—among other things—revised the definition of “engaged in the business” as applied to firearms dealers under 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(21)(C). For more than 50 years, gun sellers who are “engaged in the business” of dealing in firearms have been required to become licensed dealers—or Federal Firearms Licensees (FFLs). Since the 1990s, FFLs have been required to conduct background checks on prospective purchasers to prevent persons prohibited under

¹ <https://www.kff.org/mental-health/issue-brief/child-and-teen-firearm-mortality-in-the-u-s-and-peer-countries/#:~:text=Provisional%20CDC%20data%20from%202022,third%20year%20in%20a%20row.>

existing law from purchasing and possessing firearms. For decades, however, gun sellers have sold firearms without background checks because the term “engaged in the business” has never been clear—which has allowed sellers to avoid and evade licensing requirements and to sell firearms in commercial marketplaces like gun shows and online without running background checks. For example, between 2018 and 2020, investigators from Everytown for Gun Safety collected over nine million posts on Armslist.com—which describes itself as the “largest free gun classifieds on the web.” Of those nine million posts, 68-percent listed firearms for sale. Of those, 78-percent were from unlicensed sellers.²

Prior to BSCA and since 1986, the term “engaged in the business” meant “a person who devotes, time, attention, and labor to dealing in firearms as a regular course of trade or business with the principal objective of livelihood and profit through the repetitive purchase and resale of firearms.” BSCA struck the condition that, to be “engaged in the business” of dealing in firearms, a person had to do so “with the principal objective of livelihood and profit,” and replaced it with a new one. Now, to be “engaged in the business” of dealing in firearms, a person must do so “to predominantly earn a profit.” BSCA also defined the term “to predominantly earn a profit” to mean “the intent underlying the sale or disposition of firearms is predominantly one of obtaining pecuniary gain, as opposed to other intents, such as improving or liquidating a personal firearms collection.” These changes were intended—as Senator Murphy explained on the Senate floor at the time—to “clarify . . . who needs to register as a . . . licensed dealer . . . and . . . perform background checks.”³

These are the same changes that ATF has interpreted in its proposed rule, and ATF has done so in a manner pursuant to the authority that Congress has long and consistently delegated to the Department of Justice and ATF to enforce our federal firearms laws—including the Gun Control Act of 1968 and now BSCA. The proposed rule is appropriately based on investigative efforts and regulatory action that ATF has undertaken for decades, and our recognition that ATF can, and must, address the modern firearms marketplace, including the conditions under which firearms are bought and sold. Claims that ATF has overstepped, or even usurped Congress’s legislative powers are inapposite. ATF has, time and again, implemented the laws that Congress has passed, including those related to licensing requirements and procedures as well as background checks. ATF’s proposed rule is no different.

ATF’s mission is to protect the public from violent crime—which is made increasingly violent when firearms are involved. Firearms sold without a background check often end up in the hands of dangerous individuals due to gaps in the current background check system, traffickers who get their firearms from straw purchasers who buy them from rogue sellers, and industry innovations intended to flout existing laws and regulations like untraceable ghost firearms. To that end, the proposed rule is mission critical as it addresses legal loopholes on both the seller and purchaser sides to prevent sellers from transferring firearms to prohibited purchasers and prohibited purchasers from receiving them.

To do so, the proposed rule covers conduct and activities through rebuttable presumptions applicable in civil and administrative proceedings that indicate that a gun seller is “engaged in

² <https://bit.ly/3dUshWo>

³ <https://www.congress.gov/117/crec/2022/06/21/168/105/CREC-2022-06-21-pt1-PgS3023-4.pdf>

the business” of dealing in firearms and has demonstrated the intent “to predominantly earn a profit.” Gun sellers engaged in this conduct and these activities are required to become licensed dealers through the same process by which current FFLs become licensed. This means such sellers would be subject to all the same responsibilities required of current FFLs like recordkeeping and reporting, passing compliance inspections, and conducting background checks on prospective purchasers. The proposed rule also contains detailed explanations for these presumptions and makes clear that firearms dealing can occur wherever and through whatever medium. The latter reflects “advancements in manufacturing (e.g., 3D printing), distribution technology (e.g., internet sales), and changes in the marketplace for firearms and related products (e.g., large-scale gun shows).” As a whole, the proposed rule, in practice, will expand background checks to more gun sales than ever before—keeping more firearms out of the hands of individuals who are not permitted to purchase or possess them in the first instance.

These presumptions are based on prior civil, administrative, and criminal proceedings and enforcement actions, including FFL compliance inspections, criminal investigations and prosecutions for dealing in firearms without a license, civil seizure and forfeiture actions, cease and desist letters, and license revocations and denials. In addition, the proposed rule roots these presumptions in case law and precedent. The presumptions included in the proposed rule capture the clear business-like conduct that we, in Congress, intended to cover in BSCA.

This conduct includes repetitively selling or offering for sale any firearms of the same or similar kind and type. It also includes advertising or marketing a firearms business, or purchasing, renting, or securing physical space to display and store firearms offered for sale. It is our view that these presumptions, among the others included in the proposed rule, are essential and must be present in the final rule to be effective and to give effect to the changes we made in BSCA. The proposed rule also makes important distinctions between business inventories and personal collections and the obligations that FFLs and former FFLs have when it comes to firearms in their inventories and firearms in their personal collections.

The proposed rule is clear: when firearms are offered for sale in commercial marketplaces—whether at a gun show or online—there is business, and those selling them are engaged in that business and required to become licensed dealers and conduct background checks. The proposed rule also makes clear that, while no minimum number of transactions is determinative, “even a single firearm transaction or offer to engage in a transaction, when combined with other evidence, may be sufficient.” ATF’s dual approach—rebuttable presumptions for when a person is “engaged in the business” of dealing in firearms and, for conduct and activities not covered in those presumptions, determinations based on the totality of the circumstances—will help close the loopholes that have allowed gun sellers to go without getting licensed and without running background checks for decades.

But neither Section 12002 of BSCA nor ATF’s proposed rule should be read in isolation. Congress did more in BSCA than just revise the definition of “engaged in the business.” Congress took other substantial steps demonstrating our clear intent to strengthen our background check system when we created an enhanced background check process for prospective purchasers under the age of 21, and appropriated \$200 million in total to the Department of Justice to make grants to states to help implement these enhanced checks. As of

June 14, 2023, the Federal Bureau of Investigation had conducted more than 100,000 enhanced checks, which resulted in almost 1,000 denials—including over 200 attempted transactions denied due to the changes made in BSCA.⁴ Congress also narrowed a loophole in the background check system that allowed dating partners convicted of misdemeanor crimes of domestic violence to purchase and possess firearms. Prior to BSCA, the misdemeanor crime of domestic violence prohibitor applied only to individuals who were married to, lived with, or had a child with the victim or were similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim. Further, Congress established new federal criminal offenses for both firearms trafficking and straw purchasing—acts that have long undermined the background check system and funneled firearms to prohibited persons who cannot pass a background check.

These additional changes would make little sense had Congress intended Section 12002 to be interpreted and implemented in a narrow manner, and their impact on gun violence would be even less. In fact, to continue to allow unlicensed sellers to sell firearms at gun shows and online without background checks undermines these changes and the entire background check system. For example, prospective buyers under the age of 21 who would be denied under BSCA’s enhanced background check process could still get their hands on firearms from unlicensed sellers, as could abusive dating partners who are now prohibited from purchasing and possessing firearms under BSCA. To that end, it is critical that ATF interprets and implements Section 12002, fully, robustly, and comprehensively, to strengthen our background check systems as Congress intended.

As discussed above, with BSCA, Congress intended to do something about gun violence, and we did. But we recognize that BSCA did not happen overnight. It was three decades in the making—30 years over which hundreds of thousands of lives have been taken and communities across the United States have been ravaged due to gun violence. ATF’s proposed rule honors those lives and those communities with action. It is a simple solution, one that Senator Cornyn explained on the Senate floor in September 2019 after seven people were shot and killed and another 25 were shot and wounded between Midland and Odessa, Texas. The shooter in Midland-Odessa had attempted to purchase a firearm from a licensed dealer, but—as required by law—the dealer ran a background check, and the background check system denied the sale. Unfortunately, the shooter found another seller—unlicensed and online—who chose not to run a background check and sold him the AR-15 style rifle he later used.⁵

Senator Cornyn then explained: “It is clearly Congress’s intent to make sure that if you are in the business of buying and selling firearms in a commercial enterprise, you should be licensed . . . I believe Congress has a role—and there is a pretty clear path forward—to clarify existing law so that . . . more people in the business of selling firearms will become federally licensed firearms dealers, and, thus, by definition, end up doing more background checks.”⁶ Four years later, Congress followed that path forward in BSCA, and Senator Cornyn helped lead Congress down it as one of BSCA’s main negotiators. His counterpart, Senator Murphy, made the same case—

⁴ <https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/fact-sheet-update-justice-department-s-ongoing-efforts-tackle-gun-violence>

⁵ <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndtx/pr/man-who-sold-midlandodessa-shooter-ar-15-used-massacre-sentenced-unlicensed-firearms>

⁶ <https://www.congress.gov/116/crec/2019/09/18/CREC-2019-09-18-pt1-PgS5553-2.pdf>

that, in BSCA, “[w]e clarify . . . the definition of a federally licensed gun dealer to make sure that everybody who should be licensed . . . is.”⁷

Other Members of Congress echoed Senator Murphy during the BSCA debate. Representative Nadler, then-Chair of the House Committee on the Judiciary, explained that BSCA “makes more sellers responsible for conducting background checks.”⁸ Representative Sheila Jackson Lee, at the time, the Chair of the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security, reiterated that BSCA “further strengthen[s] the background check process by clarifying who is engaged in the business of selling firearms and, as a result, is required to run background checks.”⁹ Senator Heinrich noted, “[BSCA] also makes clear who the Federal firearms licensing requirements apply to, leading to more firearm sales that require a background check.”¹⁰ Senator Reed, too, remarked that BSCA “will strengthen requirements for gun sellers to obtain a Federal firearms license.”¹¹

This is exactly what ATF’s proposed rule will help do. And it will do so in a manner consistent with the statutory changes that Congress made in BSCA to the definition of “engaged in the business” of dealing in firearms and pursuant to the authority that Congress has long delegated to ATF to interpret and implement the laws that Congress has passed. ATF’s proposed Definition of “Engaged in the Business” as a Dealer in Firearms rule will save lives and make our communities safer. For all these reasons, we submit this comment in support of ATF’s proposed rule and encourage ATF to finalize it.

Sincerely,



Robin L. Kelly
Member of Congress



Lucy McBath
Member of Congress



Mike Thompson
Member of Congress



Madeleine Dean
Member of Congress


⁷ <https://www.congress.gov/117/crec/2022/06/22/168/106/CREC-2022-06-22-pt1-PgS3053.pdf>

⁸ <https://www.congress.gov/117/crec/2022/06/24/168/108/CREC-2022-06-24-pt1-PgH5895.pdf>

⁹ <https://www.congress.gov/117/crec/2022/06/24/168/108/CREC-2022-06-24-pt1-PgH5895.pdf>

¹⁰ <https://www.congress.gov/117/crec/2022/06/23/168/107/CREC-2022-06-23-pt1-PgS3104-2.pdf>

¹¹ <https://www.congress.gov/117/crec/2022/06/23/168/107/CREC-2022-06-23-pt1-PgS3110.pdf>



Suzanne Bonamici
Member of Congress



Barbara Lee
Member of Congress



Eleanor Holmes Norton
Member of Congress



Gwen S. Moore
Member of Congress



Eric Swalwell
Member of Congress



Danny K. Davis
Member of Congress



Henry C. "Hank" Johnson, Jr.
Member of Congress



John Garamendi
Member of Congress



Katie Porter
Member of Congress



Jason Crow
Member of Congress




Mark Pocan
Member of Congress



Rashida Tlaib
Member of Congress



Adriano Espaillat
Member of Congress




Jonathan L. Jackson
Member of Congress



Nikema Williams
Member of Congress



Dina Titus
Member of Congress



Sara Jacobs
Member of Congress



Gerald E. Connolly
Member of Congress



Shri Thanedar
Member of Congress



Frederica S. Wilson
Member of Congress



Sheila Jackson Lee
Member of Congress




Shontel M. Brown
Member of Congress




Becca Balint
Member of Congress




Stephen F. Lynch
Member of Congress



Haley M. Stevens
Member of Congress



Salud Carbajal
Member of Congress



Raúl M. Grijalva
Member of Congress



Lloyd Doggett
Member of Congress




Ed Case
Member of Congress




Mark DeSaulnier
Member of Congress



Jamaal Bowman, Ed.D.
Member of Congress



Kweisi Mfume
Member of Congress



John B. Larson
Member of Congress



Grace Meng
Member of Congress




Earl Blumenauer
Member of Congress



Pramila Jayapal
Member of Congress



Nanette Diaz Barragán
Member of Congress



Anna G. Eshoo
Member of Congress



Kevin Mullin
Member of Congress



Tony Cárdenas
Member of Congress



Julia Brownley
Member of Congress




Mike Quigley
Member of Congress



Joseph D. Morelle
Member of Congress



Scott H. Peters
Member of Congress



Suzan K. DelBene
Member of Congress



Grace F. Napolitano
Member of Congress



Doris Matsui
Member of Congress



Brad Sherman
Member of Congress



Norma J. Torres
Member of Congress



Mary Gay Scanlon
Member of Congress



Yvette D. Clarke
Member of Congress




Jan Schakowsky
Member of Congress



Adam Smith
Member of Congress



Zoe Lofgren
Member of Congress



Donald S. Beyer Jr.
Member of Congress



Colin Z. Allred
Member of Congress




Nancy Pelosi
Member of Congress



Maxine Waters
Member of Congress



Troy Carter
Member of Congress



Glenn Ivey
Member of Congress



Dwight Evans
Member of Congress



Adam B. Schiff
Member of Congress



Diana DeGette
Member of Congress



Lois Frankel
Member of Congress



James P. McGovern
Member of Congress




Valerie P. Foushee
Member of Congress



Frank J. Mrvan
Member of Congress



Jerrold Nadler
Member of Congress



Debbie Dingell
Member of Congress



Lori Trahan
Member of Congress



Dan Goldman
Member of Congress



David Scott
Member of Congress



Emanuel Cleaver, II
Member of Congress



Angie Craig
Member of Congress



Sean Casten
Member of Congress




David J. Trone
Member of Congress



Lisa Blunt Rochester
Member of Congress



Summer Lee
Member of Congress



Josh Gottheimer
Member of Congress




Debbie Wasserman Schultz
Member of Congress




Brendan F. Boyle
Member of Congress



Jennifer Wexton
Member of Congress



Raja Krishnamoorthi
Member of Congress



Jennifer L. McClellan
Member of Congress



J. Luis Correa
Member of Congress



C. A. Dutch Ruppertsberger
Member of Congress



Daniel T. Kildee
Member of Congress



Ritchie Torres
Member of Congress



Bonnie Watson Coleman
Member of Congress



Al Green
Member of Congress



Alma S. Adams, Ph.D.
Member of Congress



Seth Magaziner
Member of Congress



Joaquin Castro
Member of Congress



Judy Chu
Member of Congress



Jake Auchincloss
Member of Congress




Betty McCollum
Member of Congress




Joe Courtney
Member of Congress



Lizzie Fletcher
Member of Congress



John P. Sarbanes
Member of Congress




Jahana Hayes
Member of Congress



Jimmy Gomez
Member of Congress



Maxwell Alejandro Frost
Member of Congress



Brittany Petterson
Member of Congress


Mike Levin
Member of Congress



Ami Bera, M.D.
Member of Congress



Jasmine Crockett
Member of Congress



Abigail Davis Spanberger
Member of Congress



Val Hoyle
Member of Congress




Patrick K. Ryan
Member of Congress



Matt Cartwright
Member of Congress



Bill Pascrell, Jr.
Member of Congress



Jamie Raskin
Member of Congress



Seth Moulton
Member of Congress



Bradley Scott Schneider
Member of Congress




Jim Tokuda
Member of Congress



Susie Lee
Member of Congress



Ruben Gallego
Member of Congress




Nydia M. Velázquez
Member of Congress



Robert C. "Bobby" Scott
Member of Congress



Robert J. Menendez
Member of Congress



Hillary J. Scholten
Member of Congress



Mikie Sherrill
Member of Congress



Andy Kim
Member of Congress



Veronica Escobar
Member of Congress



Jesús G. "Chuy" García
Member of Congress



Dean Phillips
Member of Congress



Sydney Kamlager-Dove
Member of Congress




André Carson
Member of Congress




Delia C. Ramirez
Member of Congress



Melanie Stansbury
Member of Congress



Greg Casar
Member of Congress



Sheila Cherfilus-McCormick
Member of Congress




Paul D. Tonko
Member of Congress




Robert Garcia
Member of Congress



Steven Horsford
Member of Congress




Sylvia R. Garcia
Member of Congress



Chris Deluzio
Member of Congress




Chellie Pingree
Member of Congress



Derek Kilmer
Member of Congress



Deborah K. Ross
Member of Congress



Jimmy Panetta
Member of Congress



Frank Pallone, Jr.
Member of Congress




Bill Foster
Member of Congress



Juan Vargas
Member of Congress



Kathy Castor
Member of Congress



Joe Neguse
Member of Congress